arXiv:1405.6385v3 [math.NT] 20 Dec 2014

Families Of Elliptic Curves With The Same Mod 8 Representations

Zexiang Chen

Abstract

Let $E : y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ be an elliptic curve defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. We compute certain twists of the classical modular curve $X(8)$. Searching for rational points on these twists enables us to find non-trivial pairs of 8-congruent elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$, i.e. pairs of non-isogenous elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ whose 8-torsion subgroups are isomorphic as Galois modules. We also show that there are infinitely many examples over $\mathbb{Q}$.

1 Introduction And Notation

Let E, F be elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$. For each $n \geq 1$, we say E and F are n-congruent with power r if $E[n] \cong F[n]$ as Galois modules and the Weil pairing is switched to the power of $r \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^*$. That is, if $\phi : E[n] \to F[n]$ is a $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$-equivariant isomorphism then $e_n^r(P, Q) = e_n(\phi P, \phi Q)$ for all $P, Q \in E[n]$.

For each elliptic curve E, the families of elliptic curves which are n-congruent to E with power r are parameterised by a modular curve $X_E^r(n)$. That is, each non-cuspidal point on $X_E^r(n)$ corresponds to an isomorphism class (F, ϕ) where F is an elliptic curve and $\phi : E[n] \to F[n]$ is a $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$-isomorphism which switches the Weil pairing to the power of r. In fact we only need to focus on $r \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^*$ mod squares. The families of elliptic curves parameterised by $X_E^1(n), n \leq 5$ were computed by Rubin and Silverberg [RS] and the existence and theoretical construction of $X_E^r(n)$ can be found in [S].

When $n \leq 5$, $X_E^r(n)$ have genus 0 and they have infinitely many rational points. The families of elliptic curves parameterised by $X_E^r(n)$ with $r \neq 1$ can be found in [F1], [F2]. When $n \geq 7$, $X_E^r(n)$ have genus greater than 1 and so there are only finitely many rational points on each of these.

One of the motivations to study the equations for $n \geq 7$ is to answer Mazur's question [M] which concerns whether there are any pairs of non-isogenous n-congruent curves. Motivated by Mazur's question, Kani and Schanz [KS] studied the geometry of the surface that parametrise pairs of n-congruent of elliptic curves. This prompted them to conjecture that for any $n \leq 12$ there are infinitely many pairs of n-congruent non-isogenous elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$. It is understood that we are looking for examples with distinct j-invariants, since otherwise from any single example we could construct infinitely many by taking quadratic twists.

The conjecture in the case $n = 7$ was proved by Halberstadt and Kraus [HK] where they gave explicit formula for $X_E^1(7)$. The equation of $X_E^6(7)$ was computed by Poonen, Schaefer and Stoll [PSS] where they study the equation

of $X_E^6(7)$ to solve the Diophantine equation $x^2 + y^3 = z^7$. The conjecture in the case $n = 9, 11$ was proved by Fisher [F3] where he gave explicit formula for $X_E^r(9)$ and $X_E^r(11)$ with $r = \pm 1$.

In this paper we will give equations for the $X_E^r(8), r = 1, 3, 5, 7$ and the families of elliptic curves parameterised by these modular curves. For convention we write $X_E(8)$ as $X_E^1(8)$. In the last section we will discuss the relation between the equations we obtain and the classification of modular diagonal surfaces as described in [KS] which then helps us to generate examples of pairs of non-isogenous 8-congruent elliptic curves.

Another motivation for studying n-congruence of elliptic curves is the following. It was observed by Cremona and Mazur [CM] that if elliptic curves E and F are n-congruent then the Mordell-Weil group of F can sometimes be used to explain elements of the Tate-Shafarevich group of E.

We fix our convention for the classical modular curve. Let $X(n)$ be the classical modular curve on which each non-cuspidal point corresponds to an isomorphism class (E, ϕ) where E is an elliptic curve and

$$\phi : \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \times \mu_n \cong E[n]$$

such that

$$e_n(\phi((a_1, \zeta_1), (a_2, \zeta_2))) = \frac{\zeta_2^{a_1}}{\zeta_1^{a_2}}.$$

Equivalently, each non-cuspidal point corresponds to an isomorphism class (E, P, C) where P is a primitive n-torsion point on E and C is a cyclic subgroup of E of order n which does not contain any multiple of P. Write $Y(n) = X(n)\backslash\{\text{cusps}\}$.

We write $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ as the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}$ and $G_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$. For each $n \geq 1$ and any field L, write $K_n(L)$ as the function field of $X(n)/L$. We will always assume L has characteristic not equal to 2 or 3. Let μ_n be the set of n-th roots of unity. We will always write $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) := \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm I\}$.

We state our main results. The families of elliptic curves parameterised by $X_E(4)$ and $X_E^3(4)$ will be given in the appendix.

Theorem 1.1. *Let E be an elliptic curve with equation $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$. Then $X_E(8) \subset \mathbb{A}^4_{t,a_0,a_1,a_2}(\mathbb{Q})$ has equations $f_1 = g_1 = h_1 = 0$ where*

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= -aa_2^2 + 2a_0a_2 + a_1^2 + \frac{2}{9}, \\ g_1 &= -2aa_1a_2 - ba_2^2 + 2a_0a_1 + \frac{2}{3}t, \\ h_1 &= -2ba_1a_2 + a_0^2 - t^2 + \frac{a}{9}, \end{aligned}$$

with forgetful map $X_E(8) \to X_E(4) : (t, a_0, a_1, a_2) \mapsto t$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let E be an elliptic curve with equation $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ and $D = -4a^3 - 27b^2$. Then $X_E^5(8) \subset \mathbb{A}^4_{t,a_0,a_1,a_2}(\mathbb{Q})$ has equations $f_5 = g_5 = h_5 = 0$ where*

$$\begin{aligned} f_5 &= -aa_2^2 + 2a_0a_2 + a_1^2 + \frac{2D}{9}, \\ g_5 &= -2aa_1a_2 - ba_2^2 + 2a_0a_1 + \frac{2D}{3}t, \\ h_5 &= -2ba_1a_2 + a_0^2 + D(-t^2 + \frac{a}{9}). \end{aligned}$$

with forgetful map $X_E^5(8) \to X_E(4) : (t, a_0, a_1, a_2) \mapsto t$.

Theorem 1.3. *Let E be an elliptic curve with equation $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$. Then $X_E^3(8) \subset \mathbb{A}^4_{t,a_0,a_1,a_2}(\mathbb{Q})$ has equations $f_3 = g_3 = h_3 = 0$ where*

$$\begin{aligned} f_3 &= -\frac{2}{9}a^2 + 6at^2 + 6bt - (-aa_2^2 + 2a_0a_2 + a_1^2), \\ g_3 &= \frac{4}{3}a^2t + \frac{1}{3}ab - 9bt^2 - (-2aa_1a_2 - ba_2^2 + 2a_0a_1), \\ h_3 &= -\frac{4}{9}a^3 + 4a^2t^2 + 4abt - 2b^2 - (-2ba_1a_2 + a_0^2). \end{aligned}$$

with forgetful map $X_E^3(8) \to X_E^3(4) : (t, a_0, a_1, a_2) \mapsto t$.

Theorem 1.4. *Let E be an elliptic curve with equation $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$. Then $X_E^7(8) \subset \mathbb{A}^4_{t,a_0,a_1,a_2}(\mathbb{Q})$ has equations $f_7 = g_7 = h_7 = 0$ where*

$$\begin{aligned} f_7 &= 3t^2 + \frac{a}{9} - aa_2^2 + 2a_0a_2 + a_1^2, \\ g_7 &= \frac{4}{3}at + \frac{2}{3}b - 2aa_1a_2 - ba_2^2 + 2a_0a_1, \\ h_7 &= at^2 + 2bt - \frac{1}{9}a^2 - 2ba_1a_2 + a_0^2. \end{aligned}$$

with forgetful map $X_E^7(8) \to X_E^3(4) : (t, a_0, a_1, a_2) \mapsto t$.

Remark. The families of elliptic curves parameterised by $X_E^r(8)$ can be read off from the families of elliptic curves parameterised by $X_E^{\bar{r}}(4)$ via the forgetful map $X_E^r(8) \to X_E^{\bar{r}}(4)$ where $\bar{r} = r \bmod 4$.

We will give basic properties of the modular curve $X(8)$ in Section 2. In Section 3 we will describe the function fields of $X_E^r(8)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ for each $r = 1, 3, 5, 7$. Then we will prove Theorem 1.1 and 1.2 in Section 4, based on the observations in Section 3 and the fact there is always a rational point on $X_E(8)$. The proofs of Theorem 1.3 and 1.4 require some cocycle calculations, which we will give in Section 5, and we will prove Theorem 1.3 and 1.4 in Section 6.

Acknowledgement

I would like to thank Tom Fisher for useful discussion and advice. Most symbolic computations were done by MAGMA [MAG].

2 The Modular Curve X(8)

In this section we give the basic properties of the curve $X(8)$. We start by introducing the structure of $X(4)$. Fix a primitive 4th root of unity i. It is well-known (see for example [S]) that the modular curve $X(4)$ can be identified with $\mathbb{P}^1$ by

$$(E_u, P_u, C_u) \mapsto u$$

where

$$E_u : y^2 = x^3 - 27(256u^8 + 224u^4 + 1)x - 54(-4096u^{12} + 8448u^8 + 528u^4 - 1)$$

is an elliptic curve, $P_u = (48u^4 - 144u^3 + 72u^2 - 36u + 3, 1728u^5 - 1728u^4 + 864u^3 - 432u^2 + 108u)$ is a primitive 4-torsion point and C_u is generated by $Q_u = (48u^4 - 15, i(864u^4 - 54))$. The cusps of $X(4)$ are points satisfying $u(16u^4 - 1) = 0$ and $u = \infty$.

Take the model E_u and let x_1 and x_2 be the x-coordinates of any half point of P_u and Q_u respectively. They satisfy the vanishing of the following polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} f &= (x_1 - 48u^4 + 144u^3 - 72u^2 + 36u - 3)^4 \\ &\quad + 1296u(2u-1)^4(4u^2+1)(x_1 - 48u^4 - 72u^2 - 3)^2, \\ g &= (x_2 - 48u^4 + 15)^4 + 1296(16u^4 - 1)(x_2 + 96u^4 + 6)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Solving these directly we conclude that the function field of $X(8)/L$ is

$$K_8(L) := L(u, \sqrt{u^2 - 1/4}, \sqrt{u^2 + 1/4}, \sqrt{-u})$$

for any field L containing μ_8 and so if ζ is a fixed 8th root of unity then a model of $X(8)$ in $\mathbb{A}^4_{u,X_1,X_2,X_3}/L$ is given by

$$X_1^2 = u^2 - \frac{1}{4}, X_2^2 = u^2 + \frac{1}{4}, X_3^2 = -u.$$

The projective closure of this is a smooth curve of genus 5 and the families of elliptic curves parameterized by $X(8)$ are

$$E_{u,X_1,X_2,X_3} : y^2 = x^3 - 27(256u^8 + 224u^4 + 1)x - 54(-4096u^{12} + 8448u^8 + 528u^4 - 1)$$

together with a $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$-invariant 8-torsion point $P_{u,X_1,X_2,X_3} = (P_x, P_y)$ and a $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$-invariant cyclic subgroup $\langle Q_{u,X_1,X_2,X_3} = (Q_x, Q_y) \rangle$ where

$$\begin{aligned} P_x &= -36(4X_3^5 + 4X_3^4 + 4X_3^3 + 2X_3^2 + X_3)X_2 + 48X_3^8 + 144X_3^7 + 144X_3^6 \\ &\quad + 72X_3^5 + 72X_3^4 + 36X_3^3 + 36X_3^2 + 18X_3 + 3, \\ P_y &= 108(16X_3^9 + 32X_3^8 + 32X_3^7 + 32X_3^6 + 24X_3^5 + 16X_3^4 + 8X_3^3 + 4X_3^2 \\ &\quad + X_3)X_2 - 1728X_3^{11} - 3456X_3^{10} - 4320X_3^9 - 3456X_3^8 - 2592X_3^7 \\ &\quad - 1728X_3^6 - 1296X_3^5 - 864X_3^4 - 540X_3^3 - 216X_3^2 - 54X_3, \\ Q_x &= -72\zeta^2 X_1 X_2 + (72(\zeta^3 + \zeta)X_3^4 + 18(\zeta^3 + \zeta))X_1 + (72(\zeta^3 - \zeta)X_3^4 \\ &\quad - 18(\zeta^3 - \zeta))X_2 + 48X_3^8 - 15, \\ Q_y &= 432X_1X_2 + (864(-\zeta^3 + \zeta)X_3^8 + 432(\zeta^3 - \zeta)X3^4 + 162(\zeta^3 - \zeta))X_1 \\ &\quad + ((-864\zeta^3 - 864\zeta)X_3^8 + 432(-\zeta^3 - \zeta)X_3^4 + 162(\zeta^3 + \zeta))X_2 \\ &\quad + 1728\zeta^2 X_3^8 - 108\zeta^2. \end{aligned}$$

The forgetful morphism $X(8) \to X(4)$ is given by $(u, X_1, X_2, X_3) \mapsto u$. In particular, this is only ramified above the cusps with ramification degree 2. The function field of $X(8)$ is obtained by adjoining the square roots of three rational functions of degree 2 on $X(4)$ and the zeroes of these rational functions are the cusps of $X(4)$.

Let $G_n := \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$. It is well-known that $\mathrm{Gal}(K_n(\mathbb{C})/K_1(\mathbb{C})) \cong G_n$. Let $H = \mathrm{Gal}(K_8(\mathbb{C})/K_4(\mathbb{C}))$ then we have an exact sequence

$$1 \to H \to G_8 \to G/H \cong G_4 \to 1$$

and so $H \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$. The group G_n acts on $X(n)$ by relabeling the n-torsion points. Explicitly, for each $\alpha \in G_n$ and any point $(E, \phi) \in Y(n)$, α acts on (E, ϕ) by

$$\alpha \circ (E, \phi) = (E, \alpha \circ \phi).$$

3 The Modular Elliptic Curves

We firstly recall some results of the level four structure and introduce the algorithm to compute $X_E(4)$ in [F1]. Let $E : y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ be an elliptic curve and write $c_4 = -\frac{a}{27}, c_6 = -\frac{b}{54}$. Take homogenous coordinate $(u : v)$ for $X(4)$ and define

$$c_4(u, v) = 256u^8 + 224u^4v^4 + v^8, c_6(u, v) = -4096u^{12} + 8448u^8v^4 + 528u^4v^8 - v^{12}$$

Let $T = uv(16u^4 - v^4)$ and T_u, T_v be the partial derivative of T with respect to u, v respectively. Now pick $u, v \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $c_4(u, v) = c_4, c_6(u, v) = c_6$. Then as is shown in [F1], Lemma 8.4 and Theorem 13.2, the isomorphism $X_E(4) \to X(4)$ is given by fractional linear map represented by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} u & -T_v \\ v & T_u \end{pmatrix}$$

and so the isomorphism $X(4) \to X_E(4)$ is given by fractional linear map represented by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} T_u & T_v \\ -v & u \end{pmatrix}.$$

Under this isomorphism the point ∞ on $X_E(4)$ corresponds to E itself. From now on we will identify $X_E(4)$ with $\mathbb{P}^1$ by this isomorphism.

Further, based on the observation in [F1] page 31, we conclude that the curve $X_E^3(4)$ can be chosen to be the same as $X_E(4)$ (with the same isomorphism to $X(4)$) in the sense that if we pick affine coordinate $\mathbb{A}_t^1$ for $X_E(4)$ and

$$E_t : y^2 = x^3 - 27a_E(t)x - 54b_E(t)$$

are families of elliptic curves parameterised by $X_E(4)$ then the families of elliptic curves parameterised by $X_E^3(4)$ are

$$E'_t := E_t^{\Delta_E} : y^2 = x^3 - 27\Delta_E^2 a_E(t)x - 54\Delta_E^3 b_E(t).$$

From now on we will write these to be the families of elliptic curves parameterised by $X_E^3(4)$ and we will give the expressions of $a_E(t)$ and $b_E(t)$ in the appendix. In fact this identification can be explained by the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let E be an elliptic curve and E^{Δ_E} be the quadratic twist of E by its discriminant Δ_E. Let $\gamma : E \to E^{\Delta_E}$ be the natural isomorphism*

$$(x, y) \mapsto (x\Delta_E, y\Delta_E^{\frac{3}{2}}).$$

Let p', q' be the image of p, q respectively. Then the map $\phi : E[4] \to E^{\Delta_E}[4]$

$$\phi(p) = p' + 2q', \phi(q) = 2p' + 3q'$$

is a $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$-equivariant isomorphism.

This result can also be found in [BD] Section 7.

Proof. Fix a basis $\{p, q\}$ for $E[4]$. For each $s \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$, we identify s with its image under $\theta' : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathrm{GL}(E[4]) \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})$. Take generators v_1, v_2, v_3 for $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})$ where

$$v_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, v_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, v_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then it suffices to check that $v_j\phi = \phi v_j, j = 1, 2, 3$. Note that v_1 fixes $\sqrt{\Delta_E}$ and v_2, v_3 switch the sign of $\sqrt{\Delta_E}$.

Then a direct computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} v_1\phi(p) = \phi v_1(p) = 3p' + 2q', v_1\phi(q) = \phi v_1(q) = 2p' + 3q', \\ v_2\phi(p) = \phi v_2(p) = 2p' + q', v_2\phi(q) = \phi v_2(q) = p' + 2q', \\ v_3\phi(p) = \phi v_3(p) = p' + 2q', v_3\phi(q) = \phi v_3(q) = 3p' + q'. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.2. *Let $t_1, \ldots, t_6$ be the cusps of $X_E(4)$ which are the images of $\pm\frac{1}{2}, \pm\frac{i}{2}, 0, \infty$ respectively, under the isomorphism $X(4) \to X_E(4)$. If we set*

$$m_1 = t_1 + t_2, m_2 = t_3 + t_4, m_3 = t_5 + t_6, l_1 = t_1t_2, l_2 = t_3t_4, l_3 = t_5t_6$$

and let $\theta_j, j = 1, 2, 3$ be the roots of $x^3 + ax + b = 0$. Then $m_j = -\frac{2}{3}\theta_j$ and $l_j = -\frac{1}{9}(2\theta_j^2 + a)$ for each j.

Proof. This follows from a direct computation. □

Remark. Since we identify $X_E^3(4)$ with $X_E(4)$, so $t_1, \ldots, t_6$ are also the cusps of $X_E^3(4)$ and so the above lemma also holds for $X_E^3(4)$.

We now illustrate the method to compute $X_E^r(8)$, $r = 1, 3, 5, 7$. For simplicity, assume that $x^3 + ax + b$ is irreducible. It follows immediately from compatibility of the Weil pairing that $X_E^r(8)$ is a cover of $X_E^{\bar{r}}(4)$, where $\bar{r} = r \mod 4$. It can be shown that $X_E^r(n)$ is a twist of $X(n)$ (see, for example, [S]). So $X(8)$ and $X_E^r(8)$ have the same ramification behavior under the forgetful morphism to the level four structure. Thus the forgetful morphism $X_E^r(8) \to X_E^{\bar{r}}(4)$ is only ramified at the points above the cusps of $X_E^{\bar{r}}(4)$.

Lemma 3.3. *For each $r \in (\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^*$, the function field of $X_E^r(8)$ over $\mathbb{Q}(E[2])$ is*

$$\mathbb{Q}(E[2])\left(t, \sqrt{\alpha_{r,1}(t - t_1)(t - t_2)}, \sqrt{\alpha_{r,2}(t - t_3)(t - t_4)}, \sqrt{\alpha_{r,3}(t - t_5)(t - t_6)}\right)$$

for some appropriate $\alpha_{r,j} \in \mathbb{Q}(E[2]), j = 1, 2, 3$. We call these $\alpha_{r,j}, j = 1, 2, 3$ the **scaling factors** *of $X_E^r(8)$.*

Proof. As is described in Section 2, if we fix an affine coordinate u of $X(4)$, then the function field of $X(8)$ over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ is given by

$$\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)(u, \sqrt{u^2 - 1/4}, \sqrt{u^2 + 1/4}, \sqrt{-u})$$

where ζ is a fixed primitive 8th root of unity.

Fix an affine coordinate t of $X_E(4)$ as above. Since $t_1, \ldots, t_6$ are the images of $\pm\frac{1}{2}, \pm\frac{i}{2}, 0, \infty$ respectively, the function field of $X_E^r(8)$ over $\mathbb{C}$ has the form

$$\mathbb{C}(t, \sqrt{(t-t_1)(t-t_2)}, \sqrt{(t-t_3)(t-t_4)}, \sqrt{(t-t_5)(t-t_6)}).$$

By Lemma 3.2, the rational functions $(t-t_1)(t-t_2), (t-t_3)(t-t_4), (t-t_5)(t-t_6)$ are defined over $\mathbb{Q}(E[2])$ and are conjugate to each other.

As $X_E^r(8)$ has a model over $\mathbb{Q}$ and so it has a model over $\mathbb{Q}(E[2])$. Then the function field of $X_E^r(8)$ over $\mathbb{Q}(E[2])$ is

$$\mathbb{Q}(E[2])\left(t, \sqrt{\alpha_{r,1}(t-t_1)(t-t_2)}, \sqrt{\alpha_{r,2}(t-t_3)(t-t_4)}, \sqrt{\alpha_{r,3}(t-t_5)(t-t_6)}\right)$$

for some appropriate $\alpha_{r,1}, \alpha_{r,2}, \alpha_{r,3} \in \mathbb{Q}(E[2])$ which are conjugate to each other. □

Corollary 3.4. *For each $r \in (\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^*$, the equation of $X_E^r(8) \subset A^4_{t,a_0,a_1,a_2}(\mathbb{Q})$ is determined by the scaling factors $\alpha_{r,j}, j = 1,2,3$. In particular, the equation of $X_E^r(8)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ is obtained by comparing the coefficients of $1, \theta_j, \theta_j^2, j = 1,2,3$ in the equations*

$$\alpha_{r,j}(t-t_{2j-1})(t-t_{2j}) = (a_0 + a_1\theta_j + a_2\theta_j^2)^2, j = 1,2,3.$$

Proof. The extension of function fields $(X_E^r(8)/\mathbb{Q}(E[2]))/(X_E^r(8)/\mathbb{Q})$ is Galois. Therefore to find a model of $X_E^r(8)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, it suffices to find enough generating elements in the function field of $X_E^r(8)$ over $\mathbb{Q}(E[2])$ which are fixed by $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(E[2])/\mathbb{Q})$. Explicitly, we will write $w_j := \sqrt{\alpha_{r,j}(t-t_{2j-1})(t-t_{2j})}$ and so $w_j^2 = \alpha_{r,j}(t-t_{2j-1})(t-t_{2j})$ for each $j = 1,2,3$.

By Lemma 3.2, $w_j = a_0 + a_1\theta_j + a_2\theta_j^2$ for some $a_0, a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$ for each $j = 1,2,3$. Therefore we obtain equations

$$\alpha_{r,j}(t-t_{2j-1})(t-t_{2j}) = (a_0 + a_1\theta_j + a_2\theta_j^2)^2, j = 1,2,3.$$

To find a model of $X_E^r(8)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, it suffices to compare the coefficients of $1, \theta_j, \theta_j^2$, $j = 1,2,3$ on both sides of the equations above because these are invariant under the action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(E[2])/\mathbb{Q})$. □

Remark. In fact it suffices to compare the coefficients of $1, \theta_j, \theta_j^2$ in one of the equations

$$\alpha_{r,j}(t-t_{2j-1})(t-t_{2j}) = (a_0 + a_1\theta_j + a_2\theta_j^2)^2, j = 1,2,3$$

because they are conjugate to each other.

Remark. We are free to multiply $\alpha_{r,j}$ by a non-zero squared factor of the form $(u_0 + u_1\theta_j + u_2\theta_j^2)^2$ because this leads to a change of coordinate in a_0, a_1, a_2.

We can extend the above results to the case when $x^3 + ax + b$ is reducible. For example, if $x^3 + ax + b$ splits completely over $\mathbb{Q}$, then the rational functions $(t-t_{2j-1})(t-t_{2j}), j = 1,2,3$ are defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. So $X_E^r(8) \subset A^4_{t,w_1,w_2,w_3}(\mathbb{Q})$ has equations

$$w_j^2 = \alpha_{r,j}(t-t_{2j-1})(t-t_{2j}), j = 1,2,3$$

for some appropriate $\alpha_{r,j} \in \mathbb{Q}, j = 1,2,3$. This is isomorphic to the ones stated in Theorem 1.1-1.4 because there is a bijection between $\{a_0, a_1, a_2\}$ and $\{a_0 + a_1\theta_j + a_2\theta_j^2 : j = 1,2,3\}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. The case when $x^3 + ax + b$ has exactly one rational root is similar.

4 The Modular Curves $X_E(8)$ And $X_E^5(8)$

By Corollary 3.4, to find equations of $X_E^r(8)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, it suffices to compute the scaling factors $\alpha_{r,j}, j = 1, 2, 3$ as introduced in Lemma 3.3. We prove Theorem 1.1 and 1.2 in this section.

Theorem 4.1. *We can pick $\alpha_{1,j}$ to be 1 for each $j = 1, 2, 3$. In particular, we obtain the equation of $X_E(8)$ as stated in Theorem 1.1, together with the forgetful map $X_E(8) \to X_E(4)$ given by $(t, a_0, a_1, a_2) \mapsto t$.*

Proof. There is always a tautological rational point on the curve $X_E(n)$ for any n which corresponds to the pair $(E, [1])$. The point on $X_E(4)$ corresponding to $(E, [1])$ is given by the point of infinity under the isomorphism we described in Section 3. Since we construct $X_E(8)$ as a cover of $X_E(4)$, there is a point on $X_E(8)$ above $t = \infty$ which corresponds to $(E, [1])$. By a change of coordinate of a_0, a_1, a_2, we may take this point to be $t = \infty, a_0 = 1, a_1 = 0, a_2 = 0$.

By corollary 3.4, the equation of $X_E(8)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ is determined by comparing the coefficients of $1, \theta_j, \theta_j^2$ in the equations

$$\alpha_{1,j}(t - t_{2j-1})(t - t_{2j}) = (a_0 + a_1\theta_j + a_2\theta_j^2)^2, j = 1, 2, 3$$

Taking homogenous coordinates in the above equations we have

$$\alpha_{1,j}(t - t_{2j-1}s)(t - t_{2j}s) = (a_0 + a_1\theta_j + a_2\theta_j^2)^2, j = 1, 2, 3$$

and so the point $t = \infty, a_0 = 1, a_1 = 0, a_2 = 0$ is now $(t : a_0 : a_1 : a_2 : s) = (1 : 1 : 0 : 0 : 0)$. Substituting this point into the equations, we conclude that we can take $\alpha_{1,j}, j = 1, 2, 3$ to be 1. □

By compatibility of the Weil pairing, $X_E^5(8)$ is also a cover of $X_E(4)$. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is based on the following observations.

Lemma 4.2. *Let E be an elliptic curve and fix any basis $\{P, Q\}$ for $E[8]$. Then the map*

$$\phi : E[8] \to E[8], \phi(P) = 5P, \phi(Q) = Q$$

is G_R-equivariant where $R = \mathbb{Q}(E[2])$.

Proof. The non-trivial 2-torsion points $4P, 4Q, 4P + 4Q$ are R-rational. Let $s \in G_R$ and write

$$s(P) = A_1P + A_2Q, g(Q) = A_3P + A_4Q.$$

Then $s(4P) = 4P$ and $s(4Q) = 4Q$. So A_2, A_3 are both even. Thus,

$$\phi(s(P)) = \phi(A_1P + A_2Q) = 5A_1P + A_2Q = 5A_1P + 5A_2Q = s(\phi(P))$$

and

$$\phi(s(Q)) = \phi(A_3P + A_4Q) = 5A_3P + A_4Q = A_3P + A_4Q = s(\phi(Q)).$$

□

Lemma 4.3. *If the modular curves $X_E^5(8)$ and $X_E(8)$ are isomorphic over K as covers of $X_E(4)$, then Δ_E is a square in K.*

Proof. Suppose $X_E^5(8) \cong X_E(8)$ as covers of $X_E(4)$ then there exists a G_K-equivariant isomorphism $\phi : E[8] \to E[8]$ such that $\det \phi = 5$ and ϕ acts trivially on $E[4]$. If we fix a basis $\{P, Q\}$ for $E[8]$ then we can view ϕ as a 2×2 matrix in terms of its action on $\{P, Q\}$. We only need to consider ϕ in $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})$ because multiplications by $3, 5, 7$ are automorphisms on $E[8]$ which preserve the Weil pairing. So we have the following possible matrices to consider

$$T_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 4 & 5 \end{pmatrix}, T_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix}, T_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix}, T_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 4 & 5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We see $T_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} T_3 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $T_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} T_3 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and so it suffices to consider T_3 and T_4.

Let $s \in G_K$ and suppose the action of s on $E[8]$ is given by $s(P) = A_1P + A_2Q, s(Q) = A_3P + A_4Q$. If ϕ is given by the matrix T_3, then using $s\phi = \phi s$ we conclude A_2, A_3 are even. So A_1, A_4 are odd because the action of s is invertible. This implies s fixes $E[2]$ and so $E[2]$ is G_K-invariant. In particular Δ_E is a square in K.

If ϕ is given by T_4, then a direct computation using $s\phi = \phi s$ shows that A_2 and A_3 have the same parity and $A_1 + A_2 \equiv A_1 + A_3 \equiv A_4 \bmod 2$. Suppose A_2 and A_3 are both even then we have exactly the same situation as above and so Δ_E is a square in K. Assume A_2 and A_3 are both odd. If A_1 is odd then A_4 is even and we have $s(4P) = 4P + 4Q, s(4Q) = 4P$. So $s(4P + 4Q) = 4Q$, in which case Δ_E is a square. If A_1 is even then A_4 is odd and we have $s(4P) = 4Q, s(4Q) = 4P + 4Q$. So $s(4P + 4Q) = 4P$, in which case Δ_E is again a square.

□

Theorem 4.4. *We can pick $\alpha_{5,j}$ to be $D = -4a^3 - 27b^2$ for each $j = 1, 2, 3$. In particular, we obtain the equation of $X_E^5(8)$ as stated in Theorem 1.2, together with the forgetful map $X_E^5(8) \to X_E(4)$ given by $(t, a_0, a_1, a_2) \mapsto t$.*

Proof. By the Lemma 4.2, there is a $\mathbb{Q}(E[2])$-rational point on $X_E^5(8)$ above $t = \infty$ which corresponds to (E, ϕ) where ϕ is the same map as in Lemma 4.2. Therefore $\alpha_{5,j}, j = 1, 2, 3$ are squares in $\mathbb{Q}(E[2])$. But there is a unique quadratic subfield inside $\mathbb{Q}(E[2])$ which is $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ where $D = -4a^3 - 27b^2$.

By the last remark of Section 3, $\alpha_{5,j}$ can be multiplied by any non-zero squared factor of the form $(u_0 + u_1\theta_j + u_2\theta_j^2)^2$. This shows that we may pick $\alpha_{5,j}, j = 1, 2, 3$ to be 1 or D. But by Lemma 4.3, if $\alpha_{5,j} = 1, j = 1, 2, 3$ then D is a square in $\mathbb{Q}$ and so we should pick $\alpha_{5,j} = D$ for each j. A direct computation gives the equation of $X_E^5(8)$ as in Theorem 1.2. □

5 Cocycles

The proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 are based on the fact there is always a rational point on the curve $X_E(8)$. However this is not always true for $X_E^3(8)$ or $X_E^7(8)$, for any elliptic curve E. We will prove Theorem 1.3 and 1.4 in the next section. By Corollary 3.4, it suffices to compute $\alpha_{3,j}$ and $\alpha_{7,j}, j = 1, 2, 3$.

It is shown in [S] that $X_E^r(n)$ are twists of $X(n)$. In particular, $X_E^r(8)$ are twists of $X_E(8)$ for each $r \in (\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^*$. By Theorem 2.2 in [AEC], for each curve $C/\mathbb{Q}$, there is a bijection between the twists of $C/\mathbb{Q}$ and $H^1(G_\mathbb{Q}, \mathrm{Isom}(C))$ where $\mathrm{Isom}(C)$ is the isomorphic group of C. In this section, we will describe the relation between the scaling factors $\alpha_{r,j}, j = 1, 2, 3$ introduced in Lemma 3.3 and the element which corresponds to $X_E^r(8)$ in $H^1(G_\mathbb{Q}, \mathrm{Isom}(X_E(8)))$. For simplicity, we again assume that $x^3 + ax + b$ is irreducible.

Lemma 5.1. *For each r, let τ be an automorphism on $E[8]$ which switches the Weil pairing to the power of r. Then for each $s \in G_\mathbb{Q}$, $s \mapsto ({}^s\tau)\tau^{-1}$ defines a cocycle in $H^1(G_\mathbb{Q}, Isom(X_E(8)))$ which corresponds to $X_E^r(8)$.*

Proof. For each $s \in \mathbb{Q}$, $({}^s\tau)\tau^{-1}$ is an automorphism on $E[8]$ preserving the Weil pairing, which induces an automorphism on $X_E(8)$. Note $[-1]$ acts trivially on $X_E(8)$. Then following a similar argument in [S], we conclude that the curve corresponding to this cocycle is $X_E^r(8)$. □

Remark. If $({}^s\tau)\tau^{-1}$ acts trivially on $E[4]$ modulo $[-1]$ for all $s \in G_\mathbb{Q}$ then we have an isomorphism between $X_E(8)$ and $X_E^r(8)$ respecting the level four structure.

The group $H \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$ is defined to be the kernel of the reduction map $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})$ in Section 2 and H is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Isom}(X_E(8))$. Define H' to be the kernel of

$$\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm I\} \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm I, \pm v\}$$

where

$$v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

and it can be checked that H' is Abelian. By Lemma 3.1 the matrix v induces a $G_\mathbb{Q}$-equivariant isomorphism between $E[4]$ and $E^{\Delta_E}[4]$ which switches the Weil pairing to the power of 3, and so v identifies $X_E^3(4)$ with $X_E(4)$.

Since H is a subgroup of H' and $\det v \neq 1$, the following sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow H' \xrightarrow{\det} (\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^* \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. Viewing g as an automorphism on $E[8]$ modulo $[-1]$, we have a Galois action ${}^s g$ for each $s \in G_\mathbb{Q}$. Further we have trivial Galois action on $(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^*$.

Now viewing $H, H', (\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^*$ as $G_\mathbb{Q}$-module we obtain a long exact sequence and in particular we obtain the connecting map

$$(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^* \to H^1(G_\mathbb{Q}, H).$$

The image of $r \in (\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^*$ can be computed as follows. Pick a lift v' of r in H'. Then the image of r in $H^1(G_\mathbb{Q}, H)$ is $s \mapsto ({}^s v')v'^{-1}$ for each $s \in G_\mathbb{Q}$. Therefore, $X_E^r(8)$ is the curve corresponding to this cocycle by Lemma 5.1.

Recall that each non-cuspidal point on $X_E^r(n)$ corresponds to a pair (F, ϕ) where F is an elliptic curve and $\phi : E[n] \to F[n]$ is a $G_\mathbb{Q}$-equivariant isomorphism which switches the Weil pairing to the power of r. We consider the image of 7.

Lemma 5.2. *The image of* 7 *under* $(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^* \to H^1(G_\mathbb{Q}, H)$ *induces an isomorphism* $\psi : X_E^7(8) \to X_E(8)$ *subject to the following commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_E^7(8) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & X_E(8) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_E^3(4) & \xrightarrow{\eta} & X_E(4) \end{array}$$

where $\psi(F, \phi) = (F, \phi \circ v')$ *and* $\eta(F, \phi) = (F, \phi \circ v)$.

Proof. For each $s \in G_\mathbb{Q}$, since ${}^s\phi = \phi$,

$$({}^s\psi)\psi^{-1}(F, \phi) = (F, \phi \circ ({}^sv')v'^{-1}), ({}^s\eta)\eta^{-1}(F, \phi) = (F, \phi \circ ({}^sv)v^{-1}).$$

The Galois conjugate $({}^s\psi)\psi^{-1}$ induces an automorphism on $X_E(8)$ which can be read off from $({}^sv')v'^{-1}$. So ψ corresponds to the cocycle $s \mapsto ({}^sv')v'^{-1}$ which is the image of 7. The diagram commutes because $v' \equiv v \bmod 4$. □

We describe the image of 7 in $H^1(G_\mathbb{Q}, H)$ explicitly.

Lemma 5.3. *Let* $v' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 6 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$ *be a lift of* 7 *in* H'. *For each* $s \in G_\mathbb{Q}$, *we identify* s *with its image under* $\theta : G_\mathbb{Q} \to \mathrm{GL}(E[8]) \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})$. *Then the action of* s *on* v' *is given by conjugation. Take generators* s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4 *for* $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})$ *where*

$$s_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, s_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, s_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, s_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $C_{s_j} = ({}^{s_j}v')v'^{-1} = s_j v' s_j^{-1} v'^{-1}$. *Then*

$$C_{s_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, C_{s_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, C_{s_3} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, C_{s_4} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. This follows from a direct computation. □

Lemma 5.2 and 5.3 give concrete descriptions of $X_E^7(8)$ in terms of the image of 7 under $(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^* \to H^1(G_\mathbb{Q}, H^1)$. On the other hand, the equation of $X_E^7(8)$ is determined by the scaling factors $\alpha_{7,j}, j = 1, 2, 3$ by Corollary 3.4. The following lemmas show how these scaling factors are related to the image of 7 in $H^1(G_\mathbb{Q}, H)$.

Lemma 5.4. *Let* T_1, T_2, T_3 *be the non-trivial* 2*-torsion points of* E *and* M *be the group Map*$(E[2]\backslash\{O\}, \mu_2)$ *where the group operation is defined by* $(\chi_1 \circ \chi_2)(T_j) = \chi_1(T_j)\chi_2(T_j), j = 1, 2, 3$. *For each* $s \in G_\mathbb{Q}$, *we define the action* ${}^s\chi$ *by* χs^{-1} *as we have trivial action on* μ_2. *Then* $H \cong M$ *as* $G_\mathbb{Q}$*-module and hence* $H^1(G_\mathbb{Q}, H) \cong L^*/(L^*)^2$ *where* $L = \mathbb{Q}[x]/(x^3 + ax + b)$.

Proof. Fix a basis $\{P, Q\}$ for $E[8]$ such that $4P = T_1, 4Q = T_2$. Take generators S_1, S_2, S_3 for H where

$$S_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, S_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, S_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For each $s \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$, we identify s with its image under $\theta : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathrm{GL}(E[8]) \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})$ and the action of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ on H is given by conjugation ${}^sS_i = sS_is^{-1}$. We take generators s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4 for $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})$ as in Lemma 5.3.

We identify each element $\chi \in M$ with a triple (e_1, e_2, e_3) where $e_i \in \{\pm 1\}$ in the sense that $\chi(T_i) = e_i$. The action of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ on M is given by ${}^s\chi = \chi s^{-1}$.

Now define $\pi : H \to M$ explicitly by $S_1 \mapsto \chi_1, S_2 \mapsto \chi_2, S_3 \mapsto \chi_3$ where

$$\chi_1 = (-1, -1, 1), \chi_2 = (1, 1, -1), \chi_3 = (1, -1, 1).$$

Then a direct computation shows that ${}^{s_i}\pi(S_j) = \pi({}^{s_i}S_j)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and $j = 1, 2, 3$ and so π is a $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$-equivariant isomorphism. So $H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, H) \cong H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, M)$. Finally, by Shapiro's lemma and Hilbert 90, $H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, M) \cong L^*/(L^*)^2$. □

Since we assume that $x^3 + ax + b$ is irreducible, so $L \cong L_j$ for any $j = 1, 2, 3$ where $L_j = \mathbb{Q}(\theta_j)$, and we have an embedding $L \hookrightarrow \prod_{j=1}^3 L_j$.

Lemma 5.5. *The image of* 7 *under* $(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^* \to H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, H) \cong H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, M) \cong L^*/(L^*)^2 \hookrightarrow \prod_{j=1}^3 L_j^*/(L_j^*)^2$ *is* $(\alpha_{7,1}, \alpha_{7,2}, \alpha_{7,3})$.

Proof. By considering the function field of $X_E^7(8)$ and $X_E(8)$ over $\mathbb{Q}(E[2])$ (Lemma 3.3), the map $\psi' : \sqrt{\alpha_{7,j}(t - t_{2j-1})(t - t_{2j})} \mapsto \sqrt{\alpha_{1,j}(t - t_{2j-1})(t - t_{2j})}$, $j = 1, 2, 3$ induces an isomorphism $X_E^7(8) \to X_E(8)$ over $\mathbb{Q}(E[2])$. Moreover we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_E^7(8) & \xrightarrow{\psi'} & X_E(8) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_E^3(4) & \xrightarrow{=} & X_E(4) \end{array}$$

For each $s \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$, s acts on $E[2]$ by permuting $\{T_1, T_2, T_3\}$. Let σ_s be the element in the symmetric group of $\{1, 2, 3\}$ which corresponds to the action of s on $\{T_1, T_2, T_3\}$. A direct computation shows that the Galois conjugate ${}^s\psi'\psi'^{-1}$ acts on $X_E(8)$ by

$$\sqrt{\alpha_{1,j}(t - t_{2j-1})(t - t_{2j})} \mapsto \frac{s\left(\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{1,\sigma_s^{-1}(j)}}{\alpha_{7,\sigma_s^{-1}(j)}}}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{1,j}}{\alpha_{7,j}}}} \sqrt{\alpha_{1,i}(t - t_{2j-1})(t - t_{2j})}, j = 1, 2, 3.$$

This induces a cocycle in $H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, M)$,

$$s \mapsto \left(\frac{s\left(\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{1,\sigma_s^{-1}(1)}}{\alpha_{7,\sigma_s^{-1}(1)}}}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{1,1}}{\alpha_{7,1}}}}, \frac{s\left(\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{1,\sigma_s^{-1}(2)}}{\alpha_{7,\sigma_s^{-1}(2)}}}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{1,2}}{\alpha_{7,2}}}}, \frac{s\left(\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{1,\sigma_s^{-1}(3)}}{\alpha_{7,\sigma_s^{-1}(3)}}}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{1,3}}{\alpha_{7,3}}}} \right).$$

ψ' is an isomorphism from $X_E^7(8)$ to $X_E(8)$ which fixes the level four structure. So by Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 5.2 this cocycle corresponds to the image of 7 under the connecting map $(\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z})^* \to H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, H)$. Then by Shapiro's lemma and Hilbert 90, we see that $\left(\frac{\alpha_{7,1}}{\alpha_{1,1}}, \frac{\alpha_{7,2}}{\alpha_{1,2}}, \frac{\alpha_{7,3}}{\alpha_{1,3}}\right)$, is the image of 7 under

$$(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^* \to H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, H) \cong H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, M) \cong L^*/(L^*)^2 \hookrightarrow \prod_{i=j}^3 L_j^*/(L_j^*)^2.$$

Finally by Theorem 4.1, we can just take $\alpha_{1,j} = 1, j = 1, 2, 3$. □

In Section 6 we will take some suitable $\delta_j \in L_j, j = 1, 2, 3$. To check $\alpha_{7,j}$ can be chosen to be δ_j for each j, it then suffices to check that the preimage of $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3)$ under $H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, H) \cong \prod_{j=1}^3 L_j^*/(L_j^*)^2$ is exactly the same as the image of 7 under $(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^* \to H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, H)$, which can be read off from $C_{s_j}, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ in Lemma 5.3.

Remark. We can extend the results in the case when $x^3 + ax + b$ is reducible. For example, if $x^3 + ax + b$ splits completely over $\mathbb{Q}$, then the Galois action on M is trivial and so we get

$$H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, M) \cong L^*/(L^*)^2 \cong \mathbb{Q}^*/(\mathbb{Q}^*)^2 \times \mathbb{Q}^*/(\mathbb{Q}^*)^2 \times \mathbb{Q}^*/(\mathbb{Q}^*)^2$$

directly by Hilbert 90. The case when $x^3 + ax + b = 0$ has exactly one rational root is similar.

6 The Curves $X_E^3(8)$ and $X_E^7(8)$

We will prove Theorem 1.4 following the strategy we described in Section 5. We will pick suitable $\delta_j \in \mathbb{Q}(E[2]), j = 1, 2, 3$ which are conjugate to each other and show that $\alpha_{7,j}$ can indeed be chosen to be δ_j for each j. In particular, we will compute the preimage of $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3)$ under

$$H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, H) \cong H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, M) \cong L^*/(L^*)^2 \hookrightarrow \prod_{j=1}^3 L_j^*/(L_j^*)^2$$

and check it is the same as the image of 7 under $(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^* \to H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, H)$ by using Lemma 5.3, 5.4 and 5.5.

Lemma 6.1. *Let E be an elliptic curve with equation $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$. Let $\theta_j, j = 1, 2, 3$ be the roots of $x^3 + ax + b = 0$ and*

$$\delta_1 = (\theta_1 - \theta_2)(\theta_3 - \theta_1), \delta_2 = (\theta_1 - \theta_2)(\theta_2 - \theta_3), \delta_3 = (\theta_2 - \theta_3)(\theta_3 - \theta_1).$$

Then the x-coordinates of the primitive 4-torsion points of E are given by

$$\theta_1 \pm i\sqrt{\delta_1}, \theta_2 \pm i\sqrt{\delta_2}, \theta_3 \pm i\sqrt{\delta_3}.$$

Proof. This follows immediately from factorising the 4-division polynomial of E over $\mathbb{Q}(E[2])$. □

We now fix a basis $\{P, Q\}$ for $E[8]$ such that $2P, 2Q, 2P + 2Q$ have x-coordinates $\theta_1 + i\sqrt{\delta_1}$, $\theta_2 + i\sqrt{\delta_2}$, and $\theta_3 + i\sqrt{\delta_3}$ respectively by Lemma 6.1. Let $T_1 = 4P, T_2 = 4Q, T_3 = 4P + 4Q$ be the non-trivial 2-torsion points so that $T_1 = (\theta_1, 0), T_2 = (\theta_2, 0)$ and $T_3 = (\theta_3, 0)$.

Lemma 6.2. *For each $s \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$, we identify s with its image under $\theta : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathrm{GL}(E[8]) \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})$. Fix generators s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4 for $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})$ as in*

Lemma 5.3. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&s_1(\sqrt{\delta_1}) = -\sqrt{\delta_1}, s_1(\sqrt{\delta_2}) = -\sqrt{\delta_2}, s_1(\sqrt{\delta_3}) = \sqrt{\delta_3},\\
&s_2(\sqrt{\delta_1}) = \sqrt{\delta_1}, s_2(\sqrt{\delta_2}) = \sqrt{\delta_2}, s_2(\sqrt{\delta_3}) = \sqrt{\delta_3},\\
&s_3(\sqrt{\delta_1}) = \sqrt{\delta_2}, s_3(\sqrt{\delta_2}) = \sqrt{\delta_1}, s_3(\sqrt{\delta_3}) = -\sqrt{\delta_3}\\
&s_4(\sqrt{\delta_1}) = \sqrt{\delta_1}, s_4(\sqrt{\delta_2}) = \sqrt{\delta_3}, s_4(\sqrt{\delta_3}) = -\sqrt{\delta_2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Fix a primitive 8th root of unity ζ so that $\zeta^2 = i$. We have

$$s_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, s_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, s_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, s_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $s_j(\zeta) = \zeta^{\det s_j}$ so $s_1(\zeta) = \zeta^7, s_2(\zeta) = \zeta^5, s_3(\zeta) = \zeta, s_4(\zeta) = \zeta$. Therefore $s_1(i) = -i, s_2(i) = i, s_3(i) = i, s_4(i) = i$. The actions of $s_j, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ on $E[4]$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&s_1(2P) = -2P, s_1(2Q) = 2Q, s_1(2P + 2Q) = -2P + 2Q,\\
&s_2(2P) = 2P, s_2(2Q) = 2Q, s_2(2P + 2Q) = 2P + 2Q,\\
&s_3(2P) = -2Q, s_3(2Q) = 2P, s_3(2P + 2Q) = 2P - 2Q,\\
&s_4(2P) = 2P, s_4(2Q) = 2P + 2Q, s_4(2P + 2Q) = 4P + 2Q.
\end{aligned}$$

By considering the x-coordinates of these points, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&s_1(\theta_1 + i\sqrt{\delta_1}) = \theta_1 + i\sqrt{\delta_1}, s_1(\theta_2 + i\sqrt{\delta_2}) = \theta_2 + i\sqrt{\delta_2}, s_1(\theta_3 + i\sqrt{\delta_3}) = \theta_3 - i\sqrt{\delta_3},\\
&s_2(\theta_1 + i\sqrt{\delta_1}) = \theta_1 + i\sqrt{\delta_1}, s_2(\theta_2 + i\sqrt{\delta_2}) = \theta_2 + i\sqrt{\delta_2}, s_2(\theta_3 + i\sqrt{\delta_3}) = \theta_3 + i\sqrt{\delta_3},\\
&s_3(\theta_1 + i\sqrt{\delta_1}) = \theta_2 + i\sqrt{\delta_2}, s_3(\theta_2 + i\sqrt{\delta_2}) = \theta_1 + i\sqrt{\delta_1}, s_3(\theta_3 + i\sqrt{\delta_3}) = \theta_3 - i\sqrt{\delta_3},\\
&s_4(\theta_1 + i\sqrt{\delta_1}) = \theta_1 + i\sqrt{\delta_1}, s_4(\theta_2 + i\sqrt{\delta_2}) = \theta_3 + i\sqrt{\delta_3}, s_4(\theta_3 + i\sqrt{\delta_3}) = \theta_2 - i\sqrt{\delta_2}.
\end{aligned}$$

By considering the actions of $s_j, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ on $E[2]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&s_1(\theta_1) = \theta_1, s_1(\theta_2) = \theta_2, s_1(\theta_3) = \theta_3,\\
&s_2(\theta_1) = \theta_1, s_2(\theta_2) = \theta_2, s_2(\theta_3) = \theta_3,\\
&s_3(\theta_1) = \theta_2, s_3(\theta_2) = \theta_1, s_3(\theta_3) = \theta_3,\\
&s_4(\theta_1) = \theta_1, s_4(\theta_2) = \theta_3, s_4(\theta_3) = \theta_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
&s_1(\sqrt{\delta_1}) = -\sqrt{\delta_1}, s_1(\sqrt{\delta_2}) = -\sqrt{\delta_2}, s_1(\sqrt{\delta_3}) = \sqrt{\delta_3},\\
&s_2(\sqrt{\delta_1}) = \sqrt{\delta_1}, s_2(\sqrt{\delta_2}) = \sqrt{\delta_2}, s_2(\sqrt{\delta_3}) = \sqrt{\delta_3},\\
&s_3(\sqrt{\delta_1}) = \sqrt{\delta_2}, s_3(\sqrt{\delta_2}) = \sqrt{\delta_1}, s_3(\sqrt{\delta_3}) = -\sqrt{\delta_3}\\
&s_4(\sqrt{\delta_1}) = \sqrt{\delta_1}, s_4(\sqrt{\delta_2}) = \sqrt{\delta_3}, s_4(\sqrt{\delta_3}) = -\sqrt{\delta_2}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Each $s_j, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ acts on $E[2]$ by permuting $\{T_1, T_2, T_3\}$. So for each j we write σ_{s_j} to be the element in the symmetric group of $\{1, 2, 3\}$ which corresponds to the action of s_j on $\{T_1, T_2, T_3\}$.

Lemma 6.3. *We have*

$$\frac{s_1\left(\sqrt{\delta_{\sigma_{s_1}^{-1}(1)}}\right)}{\sqrt{\delta_1}} = -1, \frac{s_1\left(\sqrt{\delta_{\sigma_{s_1}^{-1}(2)}}\right)}{\sqrt{\delta_2}} = -1, \frac{s_1\left(\sqrt{\delta_{\sigma_{s_1}^{-1}(3)}}\right)}{\sqrt{\delta_3}} = 1,$$
$$\frac{s_2\left(\sqrt{\delta_{\sigma_{s_2}^{-1}(1)}}\right)}{\sqrt{\delta_1}} = 1, \frac{s_2\left(\sqrt{\delta_{\sigma_{s_2}^{-1}(2)}}\right)}{\sqrt{\delta_2}} = 1, \frac{s_2\left(\sqrt{\delta_{\sigma_{s_2}^{-1}(3)}}\right)}{\sqrt{\delta_3}} = 1,$$
$$\frac{s_3\left(\sqrt{\delta_{\sigma_{s_3}^{-1}(1)}}\right)}{\sqrt{\delta_1}} = 1, \frac{s_3\left(\sqrt{\delta_{\sigma_{s_3}^{-1}(2)}}\right)}{\sqrt{\delta_2}} = 1, \frac{s_3\left(\sqrt{\delta_{\sigma_{s_3}^{-1}(3)}}\right)}{\sqrt{\delta_3}} = -1,$$
$$\frac{s_4\left(\sqrt{\delta_{\sigma_{s_4}^{-1}(1)}}\right)}{\sqrt{\delta_1}} = 1, \frac{s_4\left(\sqrt{\delta_{\sigma_{s_4}^{-1}(2)}}\right)}{\sqrt{\delta_2}} = -1, \frac{s_4\left(\sqrt{\delta_{\sigma_{s_4}^{-1}(3)}}\right)}{\sqrt{\delta_3}} = 1.$$

Proof. This follows from a direct computation by using Lemma 6.2. □

We now prove Theorem 1.4.

Proof. We identify each $s \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ with its image under $\theta : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathrm{GL}(E[8]) \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})$ and pick generators s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4 for $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})$ as in Lemma 5.3. Then by Lemma 6.3 and Shapiro's Lemma, the preimage of $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3)$ under $H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, M) \cong L^*/(L^*)^2 \hookrightarrow \prod_{j=1}^3 L_j^*/(L_j^*)^2$ is a cocycle c_s which can be described as

$$c_{s_1} = (-1,-1,1), c_{s_2} = (1,1,1), c_{s_3} = (1,1,-1), c_{s_4} = (1,-1,1).$$

By Lemma 5.4, the preimage of c_{s_j} under $H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, H) \cong H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, M)$ is C_{s_j} for each $j = 1,2,3,4$, where $C_{s_j}, j = 1,2,3,4$ are matrices given in Lemma 5.3. But by Lemma 5.3, $C_{s_j}, j = 1,2,3,4$ are used to describe the image of 7 under $(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^* \to H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, H)$. This shows that the image of 7 under

$$(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^* \to H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, H) \cong H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, M) \cong L^*/(L^*)^2 \hookrightarrow \prod_{j=1}^3 L_j^*/(L_j^*)^2$$

is $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3)$. Then by Lemma 5.5, $\alpha_{7,j}$ can be chosen to be δ_j for each j. Theorem 1.4 follows from comparing the coefficients of $1, \theta_j, \theta_j^2$ in the equations

$$\alpha_{7,j}(t - t_{2j-1})(t - t_{2j}) = (a_0 + a_1\theta_j + a_2\theta_j^2)^2, j = 1,2,3.$$

□

We now prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof. The connecting map $(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^* \to H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, H)$ is a group homomorphism. Therefore, the image of 5 under

$$(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^* \to H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, H) \cong H^1(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, M) \cong L^*/(L^*)^2 \hookrightarrow \prod_{j=1}^3 L_j^*/(L_j^*)^2$$

is the product of the image of 3 and the image of 7. So $\alpha_{3,j} = \alpha_{5,j} \cdot \alpha_{7,j}$ in $L_j^*/(L_j^*)^2$. We have shown in Theorem 4.4 that $\alpha_{5,j} = D$ for each $j = 1, 2, 3$ where $D = -4a^3 - 27b^2$. Therefore,

$$\alpha_{3,1} = D\alpha_{7,1} = (\theta_2 - \theta_3)^2(\theta_1 - \theta_2)^3(\theta_3 - \theta_1)^3.$$

Since $((\theta_1 - \theta_2)(\theta_3 - \theta_1))^2$ is a square in L_1 so we can take $\alpha_{3,1}$ to be $(\theta_2 - \theta_3)^2(\theta_1 - \theta_2)(\theta_3 - \theta_1)$. Similarly we can rescale $\alpha_{3,2}$ and $\alpha_{3,3}$ so that

$$\alpha_{3,2} = (\theta_3 - \theta_1)^2(\theta_1 - \theta_2)(\theta_2 - \theta_3), \alpha_{3,3} = (\theta_1 - \theta_2)^2(\theta_3 - \theta_1)(\theta_2 - \theta_3).$$

Theorem 1.3 follows from comparing the coefficients of $1, \theta_j, \theta_j^2$ in the equation

$$\alpha_{3,j}(t - t_{2j-1})(t - t_{2j}) = (a_0 + a_1\theta_j + a_2\theta_j^2)^2, j = 1, 2, 3.$$

□

Remark. The points on $X_E^r(8)$, $r = 1, 3, 5, 7$, appear in pairs. In other words, if $(t, a_0, a_1, a_2) \in X_E^r(8)$ then $(t, -a_0, -a_1, -a_2) \in X_E^r(8)$ because there is a non-trivial automorphism on $X_E^r(8)$ given by

$$(F, \phi) \mapsto (F, \phi \circ [3]).$$

Remark. Theorem 1.1-1.4 can be generalised to any field of characteristic not equal to 2 or 3 by exactly the same method.

7 The Modular Diagonal Surfaces

For each $n \geq 1$ and $\epsilon \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^*$, Kani and Schanz classify the type of modular diagonal surface $Z_{n,\epsilon}$ which are constructed as the quotient of $X(n) \times X(n)$ by

$$\Delta_\epsilon = \{(g, \alpha_\epsilon(g)) : g \in \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})\}$$

where $\alpha_\epsilon \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}))$ is defined by conjugation by the element $\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

Each point on the surface corresponds to a pair of elliptic curves which are n-congruent and the Weil pairing is switched to the power of ϵ [KS]. We are now going to study briefly of these surfaces in terms of the models of $X_E^r(8), r = 1, 3, 5, 7$ we got and explain how it helps to give numerical examples. In particular, we will show that there are infinitely many pairs of non-isogenous elliptic curves which are 8-congruent with power r.

Remark

By a result of Mazur, there are only finitely many l such that rational l-isogeny exists and so we only have finitely many sections on the surface which correspond to copies of $X_0(l)$. To find infinitely many pairs of non-isogenous elliptic curves which are 8-congruent, it suffices to find a curve C with infinitely many rational points and a point on C which does not correspond to isogenous curves. Since the intersection of C with $X_0(l)$ is either $X_0(l)$ or a finite set of points, so only finitely many points on C correspond to isogenous curves.

For each $j \neq 0, 1728, \infty$, there exists a unique elliptic curve E_a of the form $E : y^2 = x^3 + ax + a$ such that the j-invariant $j(E_a) = j$ and so for $j \neq 0, 1728, \infty$ we take the representative E_a in the class of $\mathbb{C}$-isomorphic elliptic curves containing E_a.

We start with the model $S_{a,1} = X_{E_a}(8)$ we got in the previous section and now we consider a being a variable. Then the irreducible part of $S_{a,1}$ with $a \neq 0, -\frac{27}{4}$ gives an open subscheme of $Z_{8,1}$, which we call Z_8. In [KS], it is shown that the $Z_{8,1}$ is a rational surface and we will verify this and give explicit birational map between $S_{a,1}$ and $\mathbb{P}^2$.

Proposition 7.1. *The explicit birational map $\mathbb{A}^2_{p,q} \to S_{a,1}$ is given by $(p, q) \mapsto (a, t, a_0, a_1, a_2)$ where*

$$a = \frac{-8(q+1)(q^2+2)^2 h_1(p,q)^3}{(q-1)(q^4+3q^2-2p)(q^6+3q^4+q^2-p^2-1)^2 h_2(p,q)},$$

$$t = -\frac{(q^2+2)h_3(p,q)h_4(p,q)}{3(q-1)(q^4+3q^2-2p)(q^6+3q^4+q^2-p^2-1)h_5(p,q)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
h_1(p,q) &= q^6 - \frac{3}{2}q^5 + 3q^4 + \frac{1}{2}q^3p - \frac{9}{2}q^3 - \frac{3}{2}q^2p + \frac{1}{2}q^2 + 3qp - q - \frac{1}{2}p^2 + \frac{1}{2} \\
h_2(p,q) &= q^6 - 3q^5 + 3q^4 + q^3p - 9q^3 - 3q^2p + 6qp - 2q + 2 \\
h_3(p,q) &= q^6 - \frac{3}{2}q^5 + 3q^4 + \frac{1}{2}q^3p - \frac{9}{2}q^3 - \frac{3}{2}q^2p + \frac{1}{2}q^2 + 3qp - q - \frac{1}{2}p^2 + \frac{1}{2} \\
h_4(p,q) &= q^{10} - 2q^9 + 10q^8 + 2q^7p - 8q^7 - 8q^6p + 26q^6 + 12q^5p - 6q^5 \\
&\quad + q^4p^2 - 32q^4p + 16q^4 - 6q^3p^2 + 6q^3p - 4q^3 + 11q^2p^2 \\
&\quad - 16q^2p + q^2 + 4qp - 4q + 2p^2 + 2 \\
h_5(p,q) &= q^6 - 3q^5 + 3q^4 + q^3p - 9q^3 - 3q^2p + 6qp - 2q + 2
\end{aligned}$$

and we do not give images a_0, a_1, a_2 here due to massive expressions (we will show how to obtain them in the proof).

Proof. We start with $S_{a,1}$ (setting $b = a$ in Theorem 1.1) and $S_{a,1}$ is birational to the surface defined by the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
f_1' &= -a + 2a_0 + a_1^2 + 2a_2^2, \\
f_2' &= -2aa_1 - a + 2a_0a_1 - 2ta_2, \\
f_3' &= -2aa_1 + a_0^2 + aa_2^2 - t^2.
\end{aligned}$$

by $(a, t, a_0, a_1, a_2) \mapsto (a, \frac{1}{3a_2}, \frac{a_0}{a_2}, \frac{a_1}{a_2}, \frac{-t}{a_2})$. We use f_1', f_2' to write a, t in terms of a_0, a_1, a_2

$$a = 2a_0 + a_1^2 + 2a_2^2, t = \frac{-2aa_1 - a + 2a_0a_1}{2a_2}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f' &= -a_0^2a_1^2 - 2a_0^2a_1 + a_0^2a_2^2 - a_0^2 - 2a_0a_1^4 - 3a_0a_1^3 - 4a_0a_1^2a_2^2 - a_0a_1^2 - 10a_0a_1a_2^2 \\
&\quad + 2a_0a_2^4 - 2a_0a_2^2 - a_1^6 - a_1^5 - 4a_1^4a_2^2 - \frac{1}{4}a_1^4 - 6a_1^3a_2^2 - 3a_1^2a_2^4 - a_1^2a_2^2 \\
&\quad - 8a_1a_2^4 + 2a_2^6 - a_2^4.
\end{aligned}$$

Sending a_0 to $\frac{Aa_0+B}{a_2^3}$ and a_1 to $\frac{a_1}{a_2}$ where

$$A = -a_1^2 - 2a_1 + a_2^2 - 1,$$
$$B = -2a_1^4 - 3a_1^3 - 4a_1^2a_2^2 - a_1^2 - 10a_1a_2^2 + 2a_2^4 - 2a_2^2$$

we conclude the surface is birational to the vanishing of

$$h' = a_0^2 - (a_1^6a_2^2 + 3a_1^5a_2 + 3a_1^4a_2^2 + \frac{9}{4}a_1^4 + 9a_1^3a_2 + a_1^2a_2^2 + 9a_1^2 + 2a_1a_2 - a_2^2 + 1).$$

This is a genus zero curve defined over $\mathbb{Q}(a_1)$ with a rational point given by

$$a_0 = \frac{3a_1 - \frac{3}{2}a_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}a_1^3}{a_1 - 1}, a_2 = -\frac{1}{a_1 - 1}.$$

Hence using standard parametrization of the genus zero curve with a rational point, together with the intermediate steps we worked above we obtain the required parametrization. □

The proposition allows one to classify all elliptic curves E such that the curve $X_E(8)$ has non-trivial rational points.

Corollary 7.2. *There exist infinitely many pairs of non-isogenous elliptic curves which are directly* 8*-congruent.*

Proof. This follows immediately from the Remark above and Proposition 6.1. □

We now consider a similar construction of the modular surface corresponding to $X_E^r(8)$ which helps to find numerical examples for $r = 3, 5, 7$. We start with the model $X_E^r(8)$, set $b = a$ and view a as a variable. We call the resulting variety $S_{a,r}$.

Proposition 7.3. *There exists infinitely many pairs of non-isogenous elliptic curves which are* 8*-congruent with power* 5*.*

Proof. Consider the genus 0 curve on $S_{a,5}$ parameterized by $\mathbb{A}_p^1$, defined by $a_2 = 0$,

$$a = \frac{27}{8}\frac{(p^2 - 12p + 12)^2}{(p^2 - 12)^2}, t = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{p^2 - 12p + 12}{p^2 - 12},$$
$$a_0 = \frac{-243}{32}\frac{(p^2 - 12p + 12)^3(p^2 - 4p + 12)}{(p^2 - 12)^4},$$
$$a_1 = \frac{81}{8}\frac{(p^2 - 12p + 12)^2(p^2 - 4p + 12)}{(p^2 - 12)^3}.$$

Setting $p = 2$ we obtain a pairs of elliptic curves

$$E : y^2 = x^3 + 54x + 216 \qquad 20736p1$$
$$F : y^2 = x^3 - 522x + 18936 \qquad 103680bv1$$

which are non-isogenous and 8-congruent with power 5. □

If E is 5-isogenous to F then F is 8-congruent to E with power 5 and therefore we have a copy of $X_0(5)$ on $S_{a,5}$ which corresponds to pairs of of 5-isogenous curves. Let $E_r : y^2 = x^3 + a_r x + b_r$ be the families of elliptic curves parameterized by $X_0(5)$, where

$$a_r = -27r^4 + 324r^3 - 378r^2 - 324r - 27,$$
$$b_r = 54r^6 - 972r^5 + 4050r^4 + 4050r^2 + 972r + 54$$

The F_r, which is 5-isogenous to E_r, is

$$y^2 + (1-r)xy - ry = x^3 - rx^2 - 5t(r^2 + 2r - 1)x - r(r^4 + 10r^3 - 5r^2 + 15r - 1)$$

and has j-invariant $\frac{(r^4+228r^3+494r^2-228r+1)^3}{r(r^2-11r-1)^5}$. By considering the j-map $X_E^4(8) \to X(1)$ we obtain the value of t which corresponds to F_r. Then the point on $X_E^5(8)$ corresponding to F_r is

$$t = r^2 + 1,\ a_0 = -1944r(r^3 - 11r^2 + 7r + 1)(r^3 - 7r^2 - 11r - 1),$$
$$a_1 = 324r(r^2 - 12r - 1)(r^2 + 1),\ a_2 = 108r(r^2 - 6r - 1).$$

To find a copy of $X_0(5)$ on $S_{a,5}$ we replace both a_r, b_r by a_r^3/b_r^2 and rescale the coordinates t, a_0, a_1, a_2. Similarly we can find points corresponding to 3-isogenous curves on $S_{a,3}$ and points corresponding to 7-isogenous curves on $S_{a,7}$.

Proposition 7.4. *There are infinitely many pairs of non-isogenous elliptic curves which are 8-congruent with power 3.*

Proof. We search for a genus 0 curve on $S_{a,3}$. Consider the genus 0 curve on $S_{a,3}$ parameterised by $\mathbb{A}_r^1$

$$a = -\frac{135}{4}\frac{(r^2 - 2r - \frac{15}{8})(r^2 + \frac{1}{8})}{(r^2 - r + \frac{11}{8})(r^2 + r + \frac{3}{8})(r^2 + 2r - \frac{1}{8})},$$
$$t = \frac{1}{2}\frac{(r^2 - 2r - \frac{15}{8})(r^2 + \frac{1}{8})}{(r^2 - r + \frac{11}{8})(r^2 + r + \frac{3}{8})},$$
$$a_0 = -135\frac{(r^2 - 2r + \frac{21}{8})(r^2 - \frac{1}{2}r - \frac{3}{8})(r^2 + \frac{1}{8})^2(r^2 + \frac{1}{2}r + \frac{5}{8})(r^2 + \frac{6}{5}r + \frac{17}{40})}{(r^2 - r + \frac{11}{8})^2(r^2 + r + \frac{3}{8})^2(r^2 + 2r - \frac{1}{8})^3},$$
$$a_1 = 0, a_2 = 6\frac{(r^2 - \frac{1}{2}r - \frac{3}{8})^2(r + \frac{1}{8})}{(r^2 - r + \frac{11}{8})(r^2 + r + \frac{3}{8})(r^2 + 2r - \frac{1}{8})}.$$

Then the curves corresponding to $r = 0$ are non-isogenous and the result follows. □

The families of curves parameterized by $X_0(3)$ are $E_r : y^2 = x^3 + a_r x + b_r$ where $a_r = 18r - 27, b_r = 9r^2 - 54r + 54$. So the curve F_r which is 3-isogenous to E_r corresponds to

$$t = 1 - r, a_0 = 36r^2 - 126r + 108, a_1 = 15r - 18, a_2 = 3r - 6$$

on $X_{E_r}^3(8)$.

Proposition 7.5. *There are infinitely many pairs of non-isogenous elliptic curves which are 8-congruent with power 7.*

Proof. We start with the model $S_{a,7}$ and we take the section $t = 0$. Then we obtain a curve C which has 2 irreducible components, one of which is not reduced. We take the reduced one, say C_1, which is a genus 1 curve and it has a rational point

$$p : a = -9, t = 0, a_0 = 3, a_1 = 1, a_2 = 0$$

and so C_1 is isomorphic to

$$C' : y^2 = x^3 + x^2 - 538x + 4628$$

which has rank 1. Finally, we search a point on C_1 given by

$$a = -\frac{135}{32}, t = 0, a_0 = \frac{75}{32}, a_1 = \frac{5}{4}, a_2 = \frac{-1}{3}$$

and this point gives a pair of non-isogenous curves

$$E_1 : y^2 = x^3 - 1080x - 17280, E_2 : y^2 = x^3 + 7931250x - 8519850000.$$

□

The families of curves parameterized by $X_0(7)$ are $E_r : y^2 = x^3 + a_r x + b_r$ where

$$\begin{aligned} a_r &= -27r^8 + 324r^7 - 1134r^6 + 1512r^5 - 945r^4 + 378r^2 - 108r - 27, \\ b_r &= 54r^{12} - 972r^{11} + 6318r^{10} - 19116r^9 + 30780r^8 - 26244r^7 \\ &\quad + 14742r^6 - 11988r^5 + 9396r^4 - 2484r^3 - 810r^2 + 324r + 54, \end{aligned}$$

and so the curve F_r which is 7-isogenous to E_r corresponds to

$$\begin{aligned} t &= \frac{r^6 - 7r^5 - 14r^4 + 53r^3 - 34r^2 + r + 1}{r^2 - r + 1}, \\ a_0 &= 12(-r^8 + 15r^7 - 72r^6 + 125r^5 - 113r^4 + 48r^3 + 5r^2 - 7r - 1), \\ a_1 &= \frac{2r^6 - 26r^5 + 80r^4 - 50r^3 - 20r^2 + 14r + 2}{r^2 - r + 1}, a_2 = \frac{2}{3}, \end{aligned}$$

on $X^7_{E_r}(8)$.

Remark

The surface $Z_{8,7}$ is a surface of general type, and one might expect to take more effort to find rational points on $S_{a,7}$.

We now give some examples with small conductors.

Example

By searching rational points on $X^5_E(8)$ we obtain a curve F which is non-isogenous to E and 8-congruent to E with power 5, where

$$\begin{aligned} E &: y^2 = x^3 + x^2 - 17x - 33 && 96a2 \\ F &: y^2 = x^3 - 8x^2 - 333056x + 59636736 && 1056d2 \end{aligned}$$

We give the traces of Frobenius of the curves at first several places

Prime	2	3	5	7	11	13	17	19	23	29	31
Traces of Frobenius(E)	0	1	2	-4	4	-2	-6	-4	0	2	4
Traces of Frobenius(F)	0	1	2	4	-1	-2	2	4	0	-6	4

and they are congruent mod 8 except $p = 11$. Further, at $p = 3$, both curves have split multiplicative reduction and we have $v_3(\Delta_E) = 1, v_3(\Delta_F) = 5$ which agrees with Proposition 2 in [KO].

Example

By searching rational points on $X_E^3(8)$ we obtain a curve F which is non-isogenous to E and 8-congruent to E with power 3, where

$$E : y^2 + xy + y = x^3 - x^2 - 2x \qquad 99a1$$
$$F : y^2 = x^3 - 975159243x + 11681563877190 \qquad 1683b1$$

We give the traces of Frobenius of the curves at first several places

Prime	2	3	5	7	11	13	17	19	23	29	31
Traces of Frobenius(E)	7	0	4	6	7	6	2	2	4	2	4
Traces of Frobenius(F)	7	0	4	6	-7	6	1	2	4	2	4

and they are congruent mod 8 except $p = 11, 17$. Further at $p = 11$ both curves have split multiplicative reduction and we have $v_{11}(\Delta_E) = 1$, $v_{11}(\Delta_F) = 3$ which agree with Proposition 2 in [KO].

We made some effort to minimise and reduce the equation $X_E(8)$ and find some examples of triples of elliptic curves which are directly 8-congruent to each other.

Example 7.6. *Elliptic curves* $129a1, 645e1$ *and* $23349a1$ *are directly 8-congruent to each other.*

Example 7.7. *Elliptic curves* $561a1, 235059g1$ *and* $171105h1$ *are directly 8-congruent to each other.*

Appendix

Let $E : y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ be an elliptic curve. Let $c_4 = -\frac{a}{27}, c_6 = -\frac{b}{54}$. The families of elliptic curves parameterised by $X_E^3(4)$ are

$$E_t^{\Delta_E} : y^2 = x^3 - 27\Delta_E^2 a_E(t)x - 54\Delta_E^3 b_E(t)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
a_E(t) &= c_4t^8 + 8c_6t^7 + 28c_4^2t^6 + 56c_4c_6t^5 + (-42c_4^3 + 112c_6^2)t^4 \\
&\quad + 56c_4^2c_6t^3 + (252c_4^4 - 224c_4c_6^2)t^2 + (264c_4^3c_6 - 256c_6^3)t + (81c_4^5 - 80c_4^2c_6^2), \\
b_E(t) &= c_6t^{12} + 12c_4^2t^{11} + 66c_4c_6t^{10} + (44c_4^3 + 176c_6^2)t^9 + 495c_4^2c_6t^8 \\
&\quad + 792c_4^4t^7 + 924c_4^3c_6t^6 + (-2376c_4^5 + 3168c_4^2c_6^2)t^5 + (-5841c_4^4c_6 + 6336c_4c_6^3)t^4 \\
&\quad + (-1188c_4^6 - 4224c_4^3c_6^2 + 5632c_6^4)t^3 + (-4158c_4^5c_6 + 4224c_4^2c_6^3)t^2 \\
&\quad + (-2916c_4^7 + 4464c_4^4c_6^2 - 1536c_4c_6^4)t + (-1215c_4^6c_6 + 2240c_4^3c_6^3 - 1024c_6^5).
\end{aligned}$$

References

[AEC] J.H. Silverman, The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves, Springer-Verlag, GTM 106, 1986. Expanded 2nd Edition, 2009.

[BD] N. Bruin, K. Doerken, *The Arithmetic of genus two curves with* $(4,4)$*-split Jacobians*, http://arxiv.org/pdf/0902.3480.pdf.

[CM] J.E. Cremona and B. Mazur, *Visualizing elements in the Shafarevich-Tate group, Experimental Mathematics* 9:1, (2000) 13-28.

[F1] T.A. Fisher, *The Hessian of a genus one curve* Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 104 (2012) 613-648.

[F2] T.A. Fisher, *Invariant theory for the elliptic normal quintic, I. Twists of* $X(5)$ Math. Ann. 356 (2013), no.2, 589-616.

[F3] T.A. Fisher, *On families of n-congruent elliptic curves*, to appear in LMS J. Comput. Math. http://arxiv.org/pdf/1105.1706.pdf.

[HK] E. Halberstadt and A. Kraus, *On the modular curves* $Y_E(7)$, Math. Comp. 69 (2000), no. 231, 1193-1206.

[KS] E. Kani and W. Schanz, *Modular Diagonal Quotient Surfaces*, Math. Z. 227 (1998), no.2, 337-366.

[KO] A. Kraus and J. Oesterle, *Sur une question de B. Mazur*, Math. Ann. 293 (1992), no. 2, 259-275.

[M] B. Mazur, *Rational isogenies of prime degree*, Invent. Math.44 (1978),129-162.

[MAG] MAGMA is described in W. Bosma, J. Cannon and C. Playoust, *The Magma algebra system I: The user language*, J. Symb. Comb. 24 , 235-265 (1997). See also the Magma home page at http://magma.maths.usyd.edu.au/magma/

[PSS] B. Poonen, E.F. Schaefer and M. Stoll, *Twists of* $X(7)$ *and primitive solutions to* $x^2 + y^3 = z^7$, Duke Math. J. 137 (2007), no. 1, 103-158.

[RS] K. Rubin and A. Silverberg, *Families of Elliptic Curves with Constant mod p Representations*, in Elliptic curves, modular forms, & Fermat's last theorem (Hong Kong, 1993), eds. John Coates, S. T. Yau, International Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts (1995), 148-161; Second edition:

Elliptic Curves, Modular Forms, & Fermat's Last Theorem (1997), 296-309.

[S] A. Silverberg, *Explicit families of elliptic curves with prescribed mod N representations*, in Modular Forms and Fermat's Last Theorem, eds. Gary Cornell, Joseph H. Silverman, Glenn Stevens, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York (1997), 447-461.

University of Cambridge, DPMMS, Centre for Mathematical Sciences, Wilberforce Road, Cambridge CB3 0WB, UK
e-mail address: zc231@cam.ac.uk